

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO FILING DATE ADAMS FIRST NAMED INVEN	NTOR	В	ATTORINEY DOCKETINO.
Γ	C2M1/0303 JULIAN CAPLAN	┪	CRONIEXAMINER	
	FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT SUITE 3400 FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER			
	SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111		ARJUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED:	03/03/97

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary



Application No. Applicant(s)

08/441,322

Adams et al. Group Art Unit

Stephen K. Cronin

3207



⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 19, 1996					
★ This action is FINAL.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to ex longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	pond within the period for response will cause the				
Disposition of Claims					
	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claim(s) <u>none</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
☐ Claim(s)					
☐ Claim(s)					
☐ Claims					
Application Papers					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	isapproveddisapproved.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).					
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been					
☐ received.					
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)					
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*Certified copies not received:					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s)					
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	·				
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
- Notice of informati atent Application, F10-132					
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE	FOLLOWING PAGES				

Serial Number: 08/441,322 Page 2

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and *In re Goodman*, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-25 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 5,513,763 in view of Cloyd. U.S. Patent 5,513,763 discloses and claims the invention set forth in the claims except that the central well 51 is closed by a cap 53 instead of the well having a scored portion in its bottom which is self closable. Cloyd teaches a similar closure 12 having a central well 27 with a scored bottom 28 which opens when a probe is inserted and recloses after the probe is removed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the well of U.S. Patent 5,513,763 with a scored bottom as taught by Cloyd instead of installing a separate cap in

Serial Number: 08/441,322 Page 3

Art Unit:

order to obtain the benefit of reducing the number of parts of the closure and further obtain the benefit of the closure being self sealing in the event the probe was removed prior to the container being empty.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed November 19, 1996 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The presently claimed invention sets forth structure, except for that which is encompassed by the prior art of Cloyd, which is fully disclosed and encompassed by the claims of the 5,513,763 patent. The presently claimed invention sets forth structure that is generic to the specific invention set forth in the 5,513,763 patent claims and therefore "reclaims" the previously claimed invention. This is the definition of obvious type double patenting.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Serial Number: 08/441,322 Page 4

Art Unit:

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen K. Cronin whose telephone number is (703) 308-4296.

Stephen K. Cronin

Primary Examiner

March 3, 1997